

### REMARKS

This is intended as a full and complete response to the Office Action dated January 12, 2007, having a shortened statutory period for response set to expire on April 12, 2007. Applicants request entry and consideration of the above noted amendments and the following remarks in response to the Office Action.

Claims 1 and 3-9, 11-18, 20-24, and 26-34 are pending in the application. The Examiner has stated that claims 6, 11, 14, 15, 20, and 26 are allowable, but objected to. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-9, 12-13, 16-19, 21-24, and 27-34 have been rejected.

#### Claim Objections

Claims 6, 11, 14, 15, 20, and 26 have been objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. Applicants have amended claims 1, 12, 21, and 27 to state that the impact copolymer is a polypropylene impact copolymer as such term is known in the art. Support for these amendments can be found at least at paragraph [0001]. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of these objections.

Claim 22 is objected to because of informalities. Applicants have amended claim 22 to state "comprises" and therefore request withdrawal of this objection.

#### Claim Rejections

##### 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and 103(a)

Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 21-23, 27-30, and 31-34 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Suzuki et al. (JP 9-165482). Claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 21-23, and 27-30 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki. Claims 9, 18, and 24 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Miyata et al. (U.S. 5,608,008). Claims 16 and 17 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki in view of Nakazima (U.S. 5,001,176).


Applicants have amended claims 1, 12, 21, 27, and 31-34 to state polypropylene impact copolymer as that term is known in the art. Support for these amendments can be found in at least paragraph [0001]. Suzuki does not disclose, teach, or describe a

polypropylene impact copolymer. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of this rejection.

Having addressed all issues set out in the Office Action, Applicants respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and respectfully request the same.

Date April 11, 2007

Respectfully submitted,



Diane L. Kilpatrick-Lee

Registration No. 56,211

FINA TECHNOLOGY, INC.

P.O. Box 674412

Houston, Texas, 77267-4412

Telephone: 713-483-5390

Facsimile: 713-483-5384

Attorney for Applicant(s)